

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/752,501	01/08/2004	Shoji Ichinohe	4710-0105P	5138
2292 75	590 02/15/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEV PO BOX 747	VART KOLASCH &	PENG, KUO LIANG		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/752,501		ICHINOHE, SHOJI	
ı	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kuo-Liang Peng	1712	

Defined a Filtra Control Date						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kuo-Liang Peng	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 cfr 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must based to the AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) \square They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• ••					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	i (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). // / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /				

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1712

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Counsel's arguments were NOT entered. The arguments are NOT commensurate with the scope of the claims prior to the amendment after final..